



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,649	02/05/2002	John N. Feder	D0118 NP	8982

23914 7590 05/25/2004  
STEPHEN B. DAVIS  
BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY  
PATENT DEPARTMENT  
P O BOX 4000  
PRINCETON, NJ 08543-4000

EXAMINER

SEHARASEYON, JEGATHEESAN

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1647

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/067,649	<b>Applicant(s)</b> FEDER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jegatheesan Seharaseyon	<b>Art Unit</b> 1647	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 August 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-40 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Under CFR 1.126, previous claims 20-39 have claims been renumbered as 21-40 because Applicant has two claims numbered twenty.

2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-7, 11, 12, 20-24, 28 and 29 drawn to a nucleic acid, an expression vector containing the nucleic acid, a host cell expressing the polypeptide, a cell line transformed with the vector and a method of making the polypeptide, classified in class 536, subclass 23.5.
- II. Claims 8, 9, 13, 19 and 25, drawn to isolated receptor polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- III. Claim 10, drawn to an antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- IV. Claim 14, drawn in part to a method of preventing, treating or ameliorating a medical condition by administering the polypeptide, classified in class 514, subclass 12.
- V. Claim 14, drawn in part to a method of preventing, treating or ameliorating a medical condition by administering the polynucleotide, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- VI. Claim 15, drawn to a method of diagnosing a pathological condition based on the identification of a mutation in the polynucleotide, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- VII. Claim 16, drawn to a method of diagnosing a pathological condition based on the expression of the polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.

Art Unit: 1647

- VIII. Claim 17, drawn to a method of identifying the binding partner to the polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- IX. Claims 18, drawn to a method of identifying an activity in a biological assay, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- X. Claims 26, 27 and 30-33, drawn to a method of screening for compound capable of binding to and/or modulating activity of a G-protein coupled receptor, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- XI. Claim 34, drawn part to a method of treating a disorder related to aberrant NF-kB activity comprising the step of administering an antagonist of the polypeptide, classified in class 514, subclass 12.
- XII. Claim 35, drawn part to a method of treating a proliferative disorder comprising the step of administering an antagonist of the polypeptide, classified in class 514, subclass 12.
- XIII. Claim 36, drawn part to a method of preventing, treating or ameliorating a medical condition, wherein the medical condition is a disorder directly linked to aberrant neuropeptide Y receptor activity, classified in class 514, subclass 12.
- XIV. Claim 37, drawn part to a method of preventing, treating or ameliorating a medical condition, wherein the medical condition is an eating or appetite disorder, classified in class 514, subclass 12.

Art Unit: 1647

- XV. Claim 38, drawn part to a method of preventing, treating or ameliorating a medical condition, wherein the medical condition is a disorder linked to aberrant DNA synthesis, classified in class 514, subclass 12.
- XVI. Claim 39, drawn part to a method of preventing, treating or ameliorating a medical condition, wherein the medical condition is a male reproductive disorder, classified in class 514, subclass 12.
- XVII. Claim 40, drawn part to a method of preventing, treating or ameliorating a medical condition, wherein the medical condition is testicular cancer, classified in class 514, subclass 12.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other, for the following reasons:

Inventions I, II, and III are independent and distinct, each from the other, because they are products which possess characteristic differences in structure and function and each has an independent utility that is distinct for each invention which cannot be exchanged. The polynucleotide of invention I can be used to make a hybridization probe or can be used in gene therapy as well as in the production of the protein of interest.

The protein of invention II can be used as a probe or used therapeutically or diagnostically, e.g. in screening. The antibody of invention III can be used to obtain the polypeptide of Group II, and can also be used in diagnostics, e.g. as a probe in immunoassays. In addition, the searches are not coextensive for these products.

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2)

Art Unit: 1647

that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the protein can be prepared by materially different process, such as by chemical synthesis, or obtained from nature using various isolation and purification protocols.

Inventions I and (V, and VI) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of invention I can be used as probe to screen libraries.

Inventions II and (III, IV, and VII - XVII) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptide of invention II can be used as a probe or used therapeutically or diagnostically, e.g. in screening.

Inventions I and (III, IV, and VII - XVII) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together.

Art Unit: 1647

Inventions II and (V and VI) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together.

Inventions IV-XVII are independent and distinct, each from the other, because the methods are practiced with materially different process steps for materially different purposes and each method requires a non-coextensive search because of different starting materials, process steps and goals.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. . The claims of Groups I -II are drawn to multiple sequences and fragments. Each of the different sequences are independent and distinct because no common structural or functional properties are shared. Accordingly, these sequences are each subject to restriction under 35 U.S.C. § 121. Regardless of the Group elected, Applicant is additionally required to elect a single sequence, which if determined to be patentable, would also be patentably distinct from the other nucleic acid sequences. This requirement is made under 1192 O.G.68 Notice (November 19, 1996), as examination of more than one sequence in one application would result in an undue burden on the PTO.

Art Unit: 1647

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

5. The Examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. **Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an



Art Unit: 1647

otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai*, *In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.**

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

#### **Contact Information**


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jegatheesan Seharaseyon whose telephone number is 571-272-0892. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1647

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JS 05/04

  
**GARY KUNZ**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600**

JS

May 18, 2004